Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/525,914	NAKADA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBIN HYLTON	3781

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) <u>ROBIN HYLTON</u>. (3)_____.
(2) <u>Daniel Brean</u>. (4)

Date of Interview: 13 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 14-29.

Identification of prior art discussed: JP 2973249.

Agreement with respect to the claims fild was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed of if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Calaris 27-29 were inaceverently withdrawn from consideration. The claims will be available with elected product claims 14-22. Japanese replaness 3973-29 was letted trives in the office action in relactions under 35 USC 103 using two different names. Further review inclustes one of the relections should be withdrawn. An world calcoh with these corrective actions is issued thereously.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REFLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE WITERVIEW, SEE WIFEP Section 7304, If a rept to the last Office and than strendy been fled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SWIMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. Swe Summary of Husurd of India view.